TITLE IX GRIEVANCE POLICY

Introduction

A. What is the purpose of the Title IX Grievance Policy?

Title IX of the Educational Amendments of 1972 prohibits any person in the United States from being discriminated against on the basis of sex in seeking access to any educational program or activity receiving federal financial assistance. The U.S. Department of Education, which enforces Title IX, has long defined the meaning of Title IX's prohibition on sex discrimination broadly to include various forms of sexual harassment and sexual violence that interfere with a student's ability to equally access our educational programs and opportunities.

On May 19, 2020, the U.S. Department of Education issued a Final Rule under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 that:

- Defines the meaning of "sexual harassment" (including forms of sex-based violence),
- Addresses how educational institutions must respond to reports of misconduct falling within that definition of sexual harassment, and
- Mandates a grievance process that educational institutions must follow to comply with the law in these specific covered cases before issuing a disciplinary sanction against a person accused of sexual harassment.

The full text of the Final Rule and its extensive Preamble are available here: http://bit.ly/TitleIXReg.

Based on the Final Rule, Russell Sage College ("RSC") will implement the following Title IX Grievance Policy, effective August 14, 2020.

B. When does this Title IX Grievance Policy apply?

This policy ONLY applies where an individual files a Formal Complaint, as defined below, alleging conduct that:

- meets the definition of "Title IX Sexual Harassment" defined below;
- involves a complainant who is currently participating in, or attempting to participate in, the education programs or activities of RSC;
- occurred after August 14, 2020;
- occurred within the United States; and
- occurred within RSC's "education program or activity," as defined below.

C. How does the Title IX Grievance Policy impact other campus disciplinary policies?

In recent years, "Title IX" cases have become a short-hand for any campus disciplinary process involving sex discrimination, including those arising from sexual harassment and sexual assault.

But under the Final Rule, RSC must narrow both the geographic scope of its authority to act under Title IX and the types of "sexual harassment" that it must subject to its Title IX investigation and adjudication process. Only incidents falling within the Final Rule's definition of sexual harassment will be investigated and, if appropriate, brought to a live hearing through the Title IX Grievance Policy defined below.

RSC remains committed to addressing any violations of its policies, even those not meeting the narrow standards defined under the Title IX Final Rule.

Specifically, our campus has the following policies:

- a Code of Accountability that defines certain behaviors as a violation of campus policy,
- a separate Sexual Offense Policy that addresses the types of sex-based offenses constituting a violation of campus policy, and the procedures for investigating and adjudicating those sex-based offenses, and
- a separate Discrimination and Harassment policy that addresses other types of conduct that are prohibited on campus as discrimination or harassment, and the procedures for investigating and adjudicating that conduct.

To the extent that alleged misconduct falls outside the Title IX Grievance Policy, or misconduct falling outside the Title IX Grievance Policy is discovered in the course of investigating covered Title IX misconduct, RSC retains authority to investigate and adjudicate the allegations under the policies and procedures defined within the <u>Code of Accountability, Sexual Offense Policy</u>, and/or <u>Discrimination and Harassment Policy</u>.

The elements established in the Title IX Grievance Policy under the Final Rule have no effect and are not transferable to any other policy of the College for any violation of the Code of Accountability, employment policies, or any civil rights violation except as narrowly defined in this Policy. This Policy does not set a precedent for other policies or processes of the College and may not be cited for or against any right or aspect of any other policy or process.

D. How does the Title IX Grievance Policy impact the handling of complaints?

Our existing Title IX office and reporting structure remains in place. What has changed is the way our Title IX office will handle different types of reports arising from Title IX Sexual Harassment, as detailed in full throughout Section II.

Table of Contents

A.	General Rules of Application	4
B.	Definitions	4
C.	Privacy vs. Confidentiality	7
D.	Disability Accommodations	7
E.	Making a Report Regarding Title IX Sexual Harassment to RSC	8
F.	Initiating the Title IX Grievance Process	11
G.	Investigation	17
Н.	Investigative Report	19
I.	Hearing	19
J.	Determination Regarding Responsibility	22
K.	Appeals	25
L.	Retaliation	26
App	pendix A	27
App	pendix B	30
App	pendix C	34
App	pendix D	37

A. General Rules of Application

1. Effective Date

This Title IX Grievance Policy will become effective on August 14, 2020, and will only apply to Formal Complaints of Title IX Sexual Harassment (as defined below) brought on or after August 14, 2020. Complaints brought prior to August 14, 2020 will be investigated and adjudicated according to the Title IX Grievance Policy if a case is not complete by that date.

2. Revocation by Operation of Law

Should any portion of the Title IX Final Rule, 85 Fed. Reg. 30026 (May 19, 2020), be stayed or held invalid by a court of law, or should the Title IX Final Rule be withdrawn or modified to not require the elements of this policy, this policy, or the invalidated elements of this policy, will be deemed revoked as of the publication date of the opinion or order and for all reports after that date, as well as any elements of the process that occur after that date if a case is not complete by that date of opinion or order publication.

Should the Title IX Grievance Policy be revoked in this manner, any conduct covered under the Title IX Grievance Policy shall be investigated and adjudicated under the existing Code of Accountability, Sexual Offense Policy, and/or Discrimination and Harassment Policy.

3. Non-Discrimination in Application

The requirements and protections of this policy apply equally regardless of sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, or other protected classes covered by federal or state law. All requirements and protections are equitably provided to individuals regardless of such status or status as a Complainant, Respondent, or Witness. Individuals who wish to file a complaint about RSC's policy or process may contact the Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights using contact information available at https://ocreas.ed.gov/contact-ocr.

B. **Definitions**

1. Title IX Sexual Harassment

For the purposes of this Title IX Grievance Policy, "Title IX sexual harassment" includes any conduct on the basis of sex that satisfies one or more of the following:

- An employee conditioning educational benefits on participation in unwelcome sexual conduct (i.e., quid pro quo);
- Unwelcome conduct that a reasonable person would determine is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies a person equal access to the educational RSC's education program or activity;
- Sexual assault (as defined in the Clery Act), which includes any sexual act directed against another person, without the consent of the victim including instances where the victim is incapable of giving consent;
- Dating violence (as defined in the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) amendments to the Clery Act), which includes any violence committed by a person:

- (A) who is or has been in a social relationship of a romantic or intimate nature with the victim; and (B) where the existence of such a relationship shall be determined based on a consideration of the following factors: (i) The length of the relationship; (ii) The type of relationship; (iii) The frequency of interaction between the persons involved in the relationship.
- Domestic violence (as defined in the VAWA amendments to the Clery Act), which includes any felony or misdemeanor crimes of violence committed by a current or former spouse or intimate partner of the victim, by a person with whom the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabitating with or has cohabitated with the victim as a spouse or intimate partner, by a person similarly situated to a spouse of the victim under New York's domestic or family violence laws or by any other person against an adult or youth victim who is protected from that person's acts under the domestic or family violence laws of New York.
- Stalking (as defined in the VAWA amendments to the Clery Act), meaning engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to: (A) fear for their safety or the safety of others; or (B) suffer substantial emotional distress.

Note that conduct that does not meet one or more of these criteria may still be prohibited under the Code of Accountability, Discrimination and Harassment Policy, and/or Sexual Offense Policy. Note also that conduct that constitutes sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking (collectively the "Sexual Offenses"), additional requirements may apply under the Sexual Offense Policy.

2. Consent

For the purposes of this Title IX Grievance Policy, "consent" means – a knowing, voluntary, and mutual decision among all participants to engage in "sexual activity" (as defined below). Consent can be given by words or actions, as long as those words or actions create clear permission regarding willingness to engage in the sexual activity.

Silence or lack of resistance, in and of itself, does not demonstrate consent. The definition of consent does not vary based upon a participant's sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression.

- Consent to any sexual activity between or with any party does not necessarily constitute consent to any other sexual act.
 - Whether through words or actions that clearly display consent, each party must affirmatively consent to participating in each sexual activity. Consenting to one type of sexual activity is not blanket consent to any and all types of sexual activity.
- Consent is required regardless of whether the person initiating the act is under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol.
- Consent may be initially given but withdrawn at any time.
- Consent cannot be given when a person is incapacitated, which occurs when an individual lacks the ability to knowingly choose to participate in sexual activity. Incapacitation may be caused by the lack of consciousness or being asleep, being

involuntarily restrained, being under the age of consent, or if an individual otherwise cannot consent.

- o Minors who cannot consent under New York's laws covering age of consent are considered incapacitated. Under New York law, the age of consent is 17 years old. Students and employees are encouraged to review New York State Penal Law Article 130 for additional details regarding New York's age of consent.
- O Depending on the degree of intoxication, someone who is under the influence of alcohol, drugs, or other intoxicants may be incapacitated and therefore unable to consent. This does not mean that individuals cannot affirmatively consent to sexual activity or contact when they have been drinking or using drugs, however. Such individuals may still affirmatively consent through words or actions that clearly indicate interest in engaging in the activity.
- O Incapacitation is to be determined by an investigation process based on available evidence, acknowledging that in almost no cases will scientific evidence of alcohol or drug level (such as a breathalyzer taken at the time of the assault) be available. There is no single standard or number of drinks that leads to incapacitation. This level varies for different people, and may depend in part on their age, gender, height, weight, metabolism and whether and how much they have recently eaten.
- Consent cannot be given when it is the result of any coercion, intimidation, force, or threat of harm.
- When consent is withdrawn or can no longer be given, sexual activity must stop.
 - Oconsent can "no longer be given" when a party to a sexual act or sexual contact initially consents to the activity, but during the course of the activity falls asleep or otherwise becomes unconscious or incapacitated. At that point, the other party must stop the sexual activity or contact.

3. Education Program or Activity

For the purposes of this Title IX Grievance Policy, RSC's "education program or activity" includes:

- Any on-campus premises.
- Any off-campus premises that RSC has substantial control over. This includes buildings or property owned or controlled by a recognized student organization.
- Activity occurring within computer and internet networks, digital platforms, and computer hardware or software owned or operated by, or used in the operations of RSC's programs and activities over which the RSC has substantial control.

4. Formal Complaint

For the purposes of this Title IX Grievance Policy, "Formal Complaint" means a document – including an electronic submission - filed by a complainant with a signature or other indication that the complainant is the person filing the Formal Complaint, or signed by the Title IX Coordinator, alleging Title IX Sexual Harassment against a respondent about conduct within RSC's education program or activity and requesting initiation of the procedures consistent with the Title IX Grievance Policy to investigate the allegation of Title IX Sexual Harassment.

5. Complainant

For the purposes of this Title IX Grievance Policy, Complainant means any individual who has reported being or is alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment as defined under this policy.

6. Relevant evidence and questions

"Relevant" evidence and questions refer to any questions and evidence that tends to make an allegation of Title IX Sexual Harassment more or less likely to be true.

"Relevant" evidence and questions do not include the following types of evidence and questions, which are deemed "irrelevant" at all stages of the Title IX Grievance Process:

- Evidence and questions about the complainant's sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior unless:
 - They are offered to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the conduct alleged by the complainant, or
 - o They concern specific incidents of the complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the respondent and are offered to prove consent.
 - o Evidence and questions that constitute, or seek disclosure of, information protected under a legally-recognized privilege. Legally-recognized privileges include, e.g., attorney-client privilege.
 - Any party's medical, psychological, and similar records unless the party has given voluntary, written consent.

7. Respondent

For the purposes of this Title IX Grievance policy, Respondent means any individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of conduct that could constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment as defined under this policy.

C. Privacy vs. Confidentiality

Consistent with the Code of Accountability and other relevant policies, references made to confidentiality refer to the ability of identified confidential resources to not report crimes and violations to law enforcement or college officials without permission, except for extreme circumstances, such as a health and/or safety emergency or child abuse. References made to privacy means RSC officers and employees who cannot guarantee confidentiality but will maintain privacy to the greatest extent possible, and information disclosed will be relayed only as necessary to investigate and/or seek a resolution and to notify the Title IX Coordinator or designee, who is responsible for tracking patterns and spotting systemic issues. RSC will limit the disclosure as much as practicable, even if the Title IX Coordinator determines that the request for confidentiality cannot be honored.

D. Disability Accommodations

This Policy does not alter any of RSC's obligations under federal disability laws including the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Parties may request reasonable accommodations for disclosed disabilities to the Title IX Coordinator at any point before or during the Title IX Grievance Process that do not fundamentally alter the Process. The Title IX Coordinator will not affirmatively provide disability accommodations that have not been specifically requested by the Parties, even where the Parties may be receiving accommodations in other RSC programs and activities.

E. Making a Report Regarding Title IX Sexual Harassment to RSC

Any person may report sex discrimination, including Title IX Sexual Harassment (whether or not the person reporting is the person alleged to be the victim of conduct that could constitute sex discrimination or sexual harassment), in person, by mail, by telephone, or by electronic mail, using the contact information listed for the Title IX Coordinator, or by any other means that results in the Title IX Coordinator receiving the person's verbal or written report.

Contact Information for the Title IX Coordinator:

Name: Amanda M. Bastiani

Title: Title IX Coordinator & EEO Specialist

Office Address: Russell Sage College, 65 1st Street, Troy, NY 12180, Plum 2nd Floor

Email Address: <u>bastia@sage.edu</u>
Telephone Number: (518) 244-4809

Such a report may be made at any time (including during non-business hours) by using the telephone number or electronic mail address, or by mail to the office address listed for the Title IX Coordinator.

1. Confidential Reporting

The following Officials will provide privacy, but not confidentiality, upon receiving a report of conduct prohibited under this policy:

• Title IX Coordinator:

Amanda M. Bastiani Title IX Coordinator & EEO Specialist bastia@sage.edu (518) 244-4809

• Deputy Title IX Coordinator:

Brian Evers
Director of Human Resources
eversb@sage.edu
(518) 244-2391

• "Official with Authority":

Trish Cellemme Vice President of Student Life cellep@sage.edu (518) 292-1753

Sharon Murray
Associate Vice President of Student Life
Dean of Students Albany
murras2@sage.edu
(518) 292-1930

Stacy Gonzalez
Associate Vice President of Student Life
Dean of Students Troy
gonzas@sage.edu
(518) 244-2207

 Mandatory Reporting Requirements: <u>All</u> employees of RSC are considered Responsible Employees and are required to report known details to the Title IX Coordinator as soon as possible, with the exception of confidential staff listed below.

The following Officials may provide confidentiality:

• Wellness Centers: Troy 518-244-2261

troywellnesscenter@sage.edu

Albany 518-292-1917)

albanywellnesscenter@sage.edu

• Spiritual Center: Darren Gundrum,

Protestant Chaplain & Spiritual Advisor

gundrd@sage.edu

- 2. Non-Investigatory Measures Available Under the Title IX Grievance Policy
 - a. <u>Supportive Measures</u>

Complainants and respondents (as defined above) have the right to receive supportive measures from RSC regardless of whether a Formal Complaint is filed. Supportive measures are non-disciplinary and non-punitive.

Supportive measures may include, but are not limited to:

- Counseling
- extensions of deadlines or other course-related adjustments
- modifications of work or class schedules
- campus escort services
- restrictions on contact between the parties (no contact orders)

- leaves of absence
- increased security and monitoring of certain areas of the campus
- room changes
- other support measures deemed fit for situation

RSC will maintain the confidentiality of any supportive measures provided to the complainant or respondent, to the extent that maintaining such confidentiality would not impair RSC's ability to provide the supportive measures. The Title IX Coordinator is responsible for coordinating the effective implementation of supportive measures.

Upon request, RSC will provide both the accused or respondent and the reporting individual a prompt review, reasonable under the circumstances, of the need for and terms of any supportive measures related to an alleged Sexual Offense that directly affects them, in which he or she is allowed to submit evidence in support of his or her request. In the event that supportive measures granted to or against one party impacts another party, both the directly impacted party and the secondarily impacted party may request a review of the terms or totality of the supportive measure by RSC and may submit information as to the reasoning for requesting a change.

Requests to review supportive measures related to Sexual Offenses should be submitted to: Trish Cellemme, Vice President for Student Life at cellep@sage.edu (for students), or Brian Evers, Director of Human Resources, at eversb@sage.edu (for employees). If a request for review is received from one party, the other party will be notified of the request for review. The Vice President for Student Life or Director of Human Resources will issue a determination in response to the request, and notify both parties of the determination.

b. Emergency Removal

RSC retains the authority to remove a respondent from RSC's program or activity on an emergency basis, where RSC (1) undertakes an individualized safety and risk analysis and (2) determines that an immediate threat to the physical health or safety of any student or other individual arising from the allegations of Title IX Sexual Harassment justifies a removal.

If RSC determines such removal is necessary, the respondent will be provided notice and an opportunity to challenge the decision immediately following the removal. RSC will provide both the accused or respondent and the reporting individual a prompt review, reasonable under the circumstances, of the need for and terms of a mandatory interim suspension, including potential modification, in which they are allowed to submit evidence in support of their request. Requests to review a mandatory interim suspension should be submitted to Trish Cellemme, Vice President for Student Life at cellep@sage.edu. If a request for review is received from one party, the other party will be notified of the request for review. The Vice President for Student Life will issue a determination in response to the request, and notify both parties of the determination.

c. Administrative Leave

RSC retains the authority to place a non-student employee respondent on administrative leave during the Title IX Grievance Process, consistent with the employee handbook, applicable collective bargaining agreement, and code of accountability.

F. <u>Initiating the Title IX Grievance Process</u>

1. Filing a Formal Complaint

The timeframe for the Title IX Grievance Process begins with the filing of a Formal Complaint. The Grievance Process will be concluded within a reasonably prompt manner, and no longer than ninety (90) business days after the filing of the Formal Complaint, provided that the Process may be extended for a good reason, including but not limited to the absence of a party, a party's advisor, or a witness; concurrent law enforcement activity; or the need for language assistance or accommodation of disabilities. The procedure for applying for extensions is described below.

To file a Formal Complaint, a complainant must provide the Title IX Coordinator a written, signed complaint describing the facts alleged. Complainants are only able to file a Formal Complaint under this Policy if they are currently participating in, or attempting to participate in, the education programs or activities of RSC, including as an employee. For complainants who do not meet this criteria, the College will utilize existing policy in the <u>Code of Accountability</u>, <u>Sexual Offense Policy</u>, and/or <u>Discrimination and Harassment Policy</u>.

If a complainant does not wish to make a Formal Complaint, the Title IX Coordinator may determine a Formal Complaint is necessary. RSC will inform the complainant of this decision in writing, and the complainant need not participate in the process further but will receive all notices issued under this Policy and Process.

Nothing in the Title IX Grievance Policy or RSC's policies prevents a complainant from seeking the assistance of state or local law enforcement alongside the appropriate on-campus process.

2. Informal Resolution

Reports of conduct falling under this Title IX Grievance Policy may be resolved through an informal resolution process. RSC encourages informal resolution of complaints when the parties wish to resolve a report cooperatively, whether or not the conduct in question rises to the level of a Policy violation. Informal resolution may include inquiry into the facts, but typically does not involve an investigation and will not result in a determination whether the Policy has been violated unless agreed by both parties. Informal resolution is not permitted to resolve allegations that an employee sexually harassed a student. The Title IX Coordinator will make a determination on whether an informal resolution process is appropriate based on the nature of the allegations in the Formal Complaint.

Participation in an informal resolution process is voluntary and the parties must agree in writing to participate. The Parties may elect to enter into RSC's informal resolution process at any time after the filing of the Formal Complaint, by submitting an informed written consent to initiate informal resolution. Any party may end the informal resolution process at any time before reaching a resolution, and the formal resolution process will resume with respect to the Formal Complaint. Generally, any information obtained during the attempted informal resolution process will not be used in a subsequent investigation of the Formal Complaint.

Before the initiation of an informal resolution process, the Title IX Coordinator must provide the parties a written notice that explains:

- The allegations/a copy of the formal complaint;
 - The requirements of the informal resolution process;
- That, prior to agreeing to a resolution, any Party has the right to withdraw from the informal resolution process and to initiate resume RSC's Title IX Grievance Procedure;
- The Parties' agreement that a resolution in the informal resolution process precludes the Parties from initiating or resuming RSC's Title IX Grievance Procedure with regard to the same allegations;
- The potential terms that may be requested or offered in an informal resolution agreement, including notice that an informal resolution agreement is binding only on the Parties; and
- What information RSC will maintain and whether and how RSC could disclose such information for use in its Grievance Procedures if they are initiated or used.

The Title IX Coordinator will provide the Complainant or Respondent written notice of the other party's interest in resolving a Formal Complaint through the informal resolution process. The Complainant or Respondent will have five (5) business days to respond to the informal resolution request indicating whether they have an interest in participating in the informal resolution process. In the instance when a party does not reply to the notice or a party does not voluntarily agree to participate in the informal resolution process, RSC will begin an investigation into the Formal Complaint by proceeding with the formal resolution process.

Informal resolution processes are managed by trained facilitators and the facilitator may not be the investigator or decisionmaker in the same matter. The facilitator must be free of bias for or against complainants and respondents generally.

Informal resolution may include, but is not limited to:

- administrative resolution,
- restorative justice,
- joint or separate mediation discussions,
- separation of the parties,
- referral to counseling programs,
- targeted education and training programs,
- or any other steps agreed by the parties.

Informal resolution is expected to take no more than 30 days. If the parties agree to informal resolution of the complaint, the matter will be considered closed. Informal Resolution is grounded in the voluntary participation of the Parties in the process, and for this reason, there is no right of appeal associated with the informal resolution process.

3. Multi-Party Situations

RSC may consolidate Formal Complaints alleging Title IX Sexual Harassment against more than one respondent, or by more than one complainant against one or more respondents, or by one party against the other party, where the allegations of Title IX Sexual Harassment arise out of the same facts or circumstances.

4. Determining Jurisdiction

The Title IX Coordinator or designee will determine if the instant Title IX Grievance Process should apply to a Formal Complaint. The Process will apply when all of the following elements are met, in the reasonable determination of the Title IX Coordinator:

- The conduct is alleged to have occurred on or after August 14, 2020;
- The conduct is alleged to have occurred in the United States;
- The conduct is alleged to have occurred in RSC's education program or activity; and
- The alleged conduct, if true, would constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment as defined in this policy.

If all of the elements are met, RSC will investigate the allegations according to the Grievance Process.

a. Allegations Potentially Falling Under Two Policies

If the alleged conduct, if true, includes both conduct that would constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment and conduct that would not constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment but may violate the Code of Accountability, Discrimination and Harassment Policy, the Sexual Offense Policy, or any other College policy, this Title IX Grievance Process will be applied to the investigation and adjudication of only the allegations that constitute covered Title IX Sexual Harassment. The following rules will apply:

- All allegations will be investigated by the investigator who is appointed to investigate
 the Title IX Sexual Harassment allegations. A second trained investigator may also be
 appointed to assist with the investigation and investigate the allegations that do not
 constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment.
- Neither the Title IX Coordinator nor the appointed Title IX Investigator will make any determination regarding allegations of Title IX Sexual Harassment falling under this Policy.
- In situations where one investigator has been appointed to investigate the alleged conduct, the following procedures will apply:
 - The investigator will begin the investigation under the applicable policies, and engage in fact finding and evidence collection;
 - o The investigator will draft an investigation report under each policy;
 - The investigator will make a finding of responsibility and determination for allegations that do not constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment or fall under this Policy;
- The investigator may make a recommendation, but not a determination, regarding the Title IX Sexual Harassment allegations, pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Policy, and
- After the Title IX Grievance Process has concluded or is dismissed, the investigator
 may make a determination or recommendation regarding whether it is more likely
 than not that alleged conduct occurred that violates the Code of Accountability,

- Discrimination and Harassment Policy, the Sexual Offense Policy, or any other non-Title IX policy.
- If a second trained investigator has been appointed to investigate the alleged conduct that does not constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment, the second investigator will make a determination regarding whether it is more likely than not that alleged conduct occurred that does not constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment but violates the Code of Accountability, Discrimination and Harassment Policy, and/or Sexual Offense Policy. This determination will be made after the Title IX Grievance process has concluded or is dismissed.
- If a determination is made that it is more likely than not that alleged conduct occurred that does not constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment, but violates the Code of Accountability, Sexual Offense, and/or Discrimination and Harassment Policy, RSC will pursue disciplinary action. Further information regarding internal disciplinary proceedings for students can be found in the Student Code of Accountability. Further information regarding internal disciplinary proceedings for employees can be found in the Employee Handbook and/or in any applicable collective bargaining agreement with RSC.

b. Mandatory Dismissal

Before dismissing a Formal Complaint, RSC will make reasonable efforts to clarify the allegations with the complainant. If any one of the elements of the definition of Title IX Sexual Harassment are not met, the Title IX Coordinator or designee will notify the parties that the Formal Complaint is being dismissed for the purposes of the Title IX Grievance Policy. Each party may appeal this dismissal using the procedure outlined in "Appeals," below.

c. Discretionary Dismissal

The Title IX Coordinator or designee may dismiss a Formal Complaint brought under the Title IX Grievance Policy, or any specific allegations raised within that Formal Complaint, at any time during the investigation or hearing, if:

- A complainant notifies the Title IX Coordinator in writing that they would like to withdraw the Formal Complaint or any allegations raised in the Formal Complaint;
- The respondent is no longer enrolled or employed by RSC; or,
- If specific circumstances prevent RSC from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination regarding the Formal Complaint or allegations within the Formal Complaint.

Any party may appeal a dismissal determination using the process set forth in "Appeals," below.

d. Notice of Dismissal

Upon reaching a decision that the Formal Complaint will be dismissed, RSC will promptly send written notice of the dismissal of the Formal Complaint or any specific allegation within the Formal Complaint, and the reason for the dismissal, simultaneously to the parties through their RSC email accounts. It is the responsibility of parties to maintain and regularly check their email accounts.

e. Notice of Removal

Upon dismissal of the Formal Complaint for the purposes of Title IX, RSC retains discretion to utilize the <u>Code of Accountability</u>, <u>Sexual Offense Policy</u>, and/or <u>Discrimination and Harassment Policy</u> to determine if a violation has occurred. If so, RSC will promptly send written notice of the dismissal of the Formal Complaint under the Title IX Grievance Process and removal of the allegations to the Code of Accountability, Sexual Offense Policy, and/or Discrimination and Harassment Policy processes.

4. Notice of Allegations

The Title IX Coordinator will draft and provide the Notice of Allegations to any party to the allegations of Title IX Sexual Harassment. Such notice will occur as soon as practicable after RSC receives a Formal Complaint of the allegations, if there are no extenuating circumstances.

The parties will be notified by their RSC email accounts if they are a student or employee, and by other reasonable means if they are neither.

RSC will provide sufficient time for the parties to review the Notice of Allegations and prepare a response before any initial interview.

The Title IX Coordinator or designee may determine that the Formal Complaint must be dismissed on the mandatory grounds identified above, and will issue a Notice of Dismissal. If such a determination is made, any party to the allegations of Title IX Sexual Harassment identified in the Formal Complaint will receive the Notice of Dismissal in conjunction with, or in separate correspondence after, the Notice of Allegations.

a. Contents of Notice

The Notice of Allegations will include the following:

- Notice of RSC's Title IX Grievance Process, including any informal resolution process, and a hyperlink to a copy of the process.
- Notice of the allegations potentially constituting Title IX Sexual Harassment, and sufficient details known at the time the Notice is issued, such as the identities of the parties involved in the incident, if known, including the complainant; the conduct allegedly constituting Title IX Sexual Harassment; and the date and location of the alleged incident, if known.

- A statement that the respondent is presumed not responsible for the alleged conduct and that a determination regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the grievance process.
- A statement that the parties may have an advisor of their choice, who may be, but is not required to be, an attorney.
- A statement that before the conclusion of the investigation, the parties may inspect
 and review evidence obtained as part of the investigation that is directly related to the
 allegations raised in the Formal Complaint, including the evidence upon which RSC
 does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding responsibility, and
 evidence that both tends to prove or disprove the allegations, whether obtained from a
 party or other source.
- A statement that the Code of Accountability § IX(1)(b) prohibits knowingly making false statements or knowingly submitting false information to any College official, faculty member or office, including during the grievance process.

b. Ongoing Notice

If, in the course of an investigation, RSC decides to investigate allegations about the complainant or respondent that are not included in the Notice of Allegations and are otherwise covered Title IX Sexual Harassment falling within the Title IX Grievance Policy, RSC will notify the parties whose identities are known of the additional allegations by their RSC email accounts or other reasonable means

The parties will be provided sufficient time to review the additional allegations to prepare a response before any initial interview regarding those additional charges.

c. Notice of Meetings and Interviews

RSC will provide, to a party whose participation is invited or expected, written notice of the date, time, location, participants, and purpose of all hearings, investigative interviews, or other meetings with a party, with sufficient time for the party to prepare to participate.

5. Advisor of Choice and Participation of Advisor of Choice

RSC will provide the parties equal access to advisors and support persons; any restrictions on advisor participation will be applied equally.

RSC has a long-standing practice of requiring students to participate in the process directly and not through an advocate or representative. Students participating as Complainant or Respondent in this process may be accompanied by an Advisor of Choice, who may be any attorney, to any meeting or hearing to which they are required or are eligible to attend. The Advisor of Choice is not an advocate. Except where explicitly stated by this Policy, as consistent with the Final Rule, Advisors of Choice shall not participate directly in the process as per standard policy and practice of RSC.

RSC will not intentionally schedule meetings or hearings on dates where the Advisors of Choice for all parties are not available, provided that the Advisors act reasonably in providing available dates and work collegially to find dates and times that meet all schedules.

RSC's obligations to investigate and adjudicate in a prompt timeframe under Title IX and other college policies apply to matters governed under this Policy, and RSC cannot agree to extensive delays solely to accommodate the schedule of an Advisor of Choice. The determination of what is reasonable shall be made by the Title IX Coordinator or designee. RSC will not be obligated to delay a meeting or hearing under this process more than five (5) business days due to the unavailability of an Advisor of Choice, and may offer the party the opportunity to obtain a different Advisor of Choice or utilize one provided by RSC.

6. Delays

Each party may request a one-time delay in the Grievance Process of up to five (5) business days for good cause (granted or denied in the sole judgment of the Title IX Coordinator, Director of Student Conduct, or designee) provided that the requestor provides reasonable notice and the delay does not overly inconvenience other parties. The Title IX Coordinator may also exercise their discretion to grant, deny, or implement a temporary delay or limited extension for good cause.

Possible reasons that constitute good cause may include but are not limited to, the absence of a party or the party's Advisor due to a health related emergency or family death, concurrent law enforcement activity, the need for language assistance or accommodation of disabilities, or other extenuating circumstances outside of the control of the party.

For example, a request to take a five day pause made an hour before a hearing for which multiple parties and their advisors have traveled to and prepared for shall generally not be granted, while a request for a five day pause in the middle of investigation interviews to allow a party to obtain certain documentary evidence shall generally be granted.

The Title IX Coordinator or designee shall have sole judgment to grant further pauses in the Process.

G. Investigation

1. General Rules of Investigations

The Title IX Coordinator and/or designee will perform an investigation under a reasonably prompt timeframe of the conduct alleged to constitute Title IX Sexual Harassment after issuing the Notice of Allegations.

RSC presumes that the respondent is not responsible for the alleged conduct until a determination regarding responsibility is made at the conclusion of the grievance process. The Title IX Coordinator and/or designee will perform an objective evaluation of all relevant evidence – including both inculpatory and exculpatory evidence. Credibility determinations may not be based on a person's status as a complainant, respondent, or witness.

RSC and not the parties, has the burden of proof and the burden of gathering evidence, i.e. the responsibility of showing a violation of this Policy has occurred. This burden does not rest with either party, and either party may decide not to share their account of what occurred or may

decide not to participate in an investigation or hearing. This does not shift the burden of proof away from RSC and does not indicate responsibility.

RSC cannot access, consider, or disclose medical records without a waiver from the party (or parent, if applicable) to whom the records belong or of whom the records include information. RSC will provide an equal opportunity for the parties to present witnesses, including fact and expert witnesses, and other inculpatory and exculpatory evidence, (i.e. evidence that tends to prove and disprove the allegations) as described below.

2. Inspection and Review of Evidence

Prior to the completion of the investigation, the parties will have an equal opportunity to inspect and review the evidence obtained through the investigation. The purpose of the inspection and review process is to allow each party the equal opportunity to meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.

Evidence that will be available for inspection and review by the parties will be any evidence that is directly related to the allegations raised in the Formal Complaint. It will include any:

- Evidence that is relevant, even if that evidence does not end up being relied upon by RSC in making a determination regarding responsibility; and
- inculpatory or exculpatory evidence (i.e. evidence that tends to prove or disprove the allegations) that is directly related to the allegations, whether obtained from a party or other source.

All parties must submit any evidence they would like the investigator to consider prior to when the parties' time to inspect and review evidence begins.

RSC will send the evidence made available for each party and each party's advisor, if any, to inspect and review through an electronic format or a hard copy. RSC is not under an obligation to use any specific process or technology to provide the evidence and shall have the sole discretion in terms of determining format and any restrictions or limitations on access.

The parties will have ten (10) business days to inspect and review the evidence and submit a written response by email to the investigator. The investigator will consider the parties' written responses before completing the Investigative Report.

RSC will provide copies of the parties' written responses to the investigator to all parties and their advisors, if any.

RSC may provide the parties five (5) business days after the initial inspection and review of evidence, and before the investigator completes their Investigative Report, to provide additional evidence in response to their inspection and review of the evidence, and then provide the parties five (5) business days to inspect, review, and respond to the party's additional evidence through a written response to the investigator. Those written responses may be disclosed to the parties.

RSC will provide the parties up to ten (10) business days to provide a response, after which the investigator will not be required to accept a late submission. Investigator has thirty (30) business

days to generate a report or, alternatively, may provide the parties with written notice extending the investigation for thirty (30) days and explaining the reason for the extension.

Any evidence subject to inspection and review will be available at any hearing, including for purposes of cross-examination.

The parties and their advisors must sign an agreement not to disseminate any of the evidence subject to inspection and review or use such evidence for any purpose unrelated to the Title IX grievance process.

The parties and their advisors agree not to photograph or otherwise copy the evidence.

3. <u>Inclusion of Evidence Not Directly Related to the Allegations</u>

Evidence obtained in the investigation that is determined in the reasoned judgment of the investigator not to be directly related to the allegations in the Formal Complaint will be included in the appendices to the investigative report.

H. Investigative Report

The Title IX Coordinator and/or designee will create an Investigative Report that fairly summarizes relevant evidence, will and provide that Report to the parties at least ten (10) business days prior the hearing in an electronic format or a hard copy for each party's review and written response.

The Investigative Report is not intended to catalog all evidence obtained by the investigator, but only to provide a fair summary of that evidence.

Only relevant evidence (including both inculpatory and exculpatory - i.e. tending to prove and disprove the allegations - relevant evidence) will be referenced in the Investigative Report.

The investigator may redact irrelevant information from the Investigative Report when that information is contained in documents or evidence that is/are otherwise relevant.

I. Hearing

1. General Rules of Hearings

RSC will not issue a disciplinary sanction arising from an allegation of Title IX Sexual Harassment without holding a live hearing, unless otherwise resolved through an informal resolution process.

The live hearing may be conducted with all parties physically present in the same geographic location, or, at RSC's discretion, any or all parties, witnesses, and other participants may appear at the live hearing virtually through video remote conferencing. Video remote conferencing will consist of using a visual screen with an online meeting platform so that a face-to-face meeting can be accomplished without having to move to a single location together. This technology will

enable participants simultaneously to see and hear each other. At its discretion, RSC may delay or adjourn a hearing based on technological errors not within a party's control.

All proceedings will be recorded through audio recording. That recording will be made available to the parties for inspection and review.

Prior to obtaining access to any evidence, the parties and their advisors must sign an agreement not to disseminate any of the testimony heard or evidence obtained in the hearing or use such testimony or evidence for any purpose unrelated to the Title IX Grievance Process. Once signed, this Agreement may not be withdrawn.

2. Continuances or Granting Extensions

RSC may determine that multiple sessions or a continuance (i.e. a pause on the continuation of the hearing until a later date or time) is needed to complete a hearing. If so, RSC will notify all participants and endeavor to accommodate all participants' schedules and complete the hearing as promptly as practicable.

3. Participants in the live hearing

Live hearings are not public, and the only individuals permitted to participate in the hearing are as follows:

a. Complainant and Respondent (The Parties)

- The parties cannot waive the right to a live hearing.
- RSC may still proceed with the live hearing in the absence of a party, and may reach a determination of responsibility in their absence, including through any evidence gathered that does not constitute a "statement" by that party.
 - o For example, a verbal or written statement constituting part or all of the Title IX Sexual Harassment itself is not a "prior statement" that must be excluded if the maker of the statement does not submit to cross-examination about that statement. In other words, a prior statement would not include a document, audio recording, audiovisual reading, and digital media, including but not limited to text messages, emails, and social media postings, that constitute the conduct alleged to have been the act of Title IX Sexual Harassment under the Formal Complaint.
- RSC will not threaten, coerce, intimidate or discriminate against the party in an attempt to secure the party's participation.
- The decision-maker cannot draw an inference about the determination regarding responsibility based solely on a party's absence from the live hearing or refusal to answer cross examination or other questions.

b. The Decision-maker

• The hearing body will consist of a panel of three (3) decision-makers.

- No member of the hearing body will also have served as the Title IX Coordinator, Title IX investigator, or advisor to any party in the case, nor may any member of the hearing body serve on the appeals body in the case.
- No member of the hearing body will have a conflict of interest or bias in favor of or against complainants or respondents generally, or in favor or against the parties to the particular case. See Appendix A for details on bias.
- The hearing body will be trained on topics including how to serve impartially, issues of relevance, including how to apply the rape shield protections provided for complainants, and any technology to be used at the hearing.
- The parties will have an opportunity to raise any objections regarding a decision-maker's actual or perceived conflicts of interest or bias at the commencement of the live hearing.

c. Advisor of choice

- The parties have the right to select an advisor of their choice, who may be, but does not have to be, an attorney.
- The advisor of choice may accompany the parties to any meeting or hearing they are permitted to attend, but may not speak for the party, except for the purpose of cross-examination.
- In addition to selecting an advisor to conduct cross-examination, the parties may select an advisor who may accompany the parties to any meeting or hearing they are permitted to attend, but may not speak for the party.
- The parties are not permitted to conduct cross-examination; it must be conducted by the advisor. As a result, if a party does not select an advisor, RSC will select an advisor to serve in this role for the limited purpose of conducting the cross-examination at no fee or charge to the party.
- The advisor is not prohibited from having a conflict of interest or bias in favor of or against complainants or respondents generally, or in favor or against the parties to the particular case.
- The advisor is not prohibited from being a witness in the matter.
- If a party does not attend the live hearing, the party's advisor may appear and conduct cross-examination on their behalf.
- If neither a party nor their advisor appears at the hearing, RSC will provide an advisor to appear on behalf of the non-appearing party.

Advisors shall be subject to RSC's Rules of Decorum, and may be removed upon violation of those Rules. The Rules of Decorum are contained in **Appendix C**.

d. Witnesses

- Witnesses cannot be compelled to participate in the live hearing, and have the right not to participate in the hearing free from retaliation.
- If a witness does not submit to cross-examination, as described below, the decision-maker cannot rely on any statements made by that witness in reaching a determination regarding responsibility, including any statement relayed by the absent witness to a witness or party who testifies at the live hearing.

4. Hearing Procedures

For all live hearings conducted under this Title IX Grievance Process, the procedure will be as follows:

- The Decision-maker will open and establish rules and expectations for the hearing;
- The Parties will each be given the opportunity to provide opening statements;
- The Decision-maker will ask questions of the Parties and Witnesses;
- Parties will be given the opportunity for live cross-examination after the Decision-maker conducts its initial round of questioning; During the Parties' cross-examination, the decision-maker will have the authority to pause cross-examination at any time for the purposes of asking decision-maker's own follow up questions; and any time necessary in order to enforce the established rules of decorum.
- Should a Party or the Party's Advisor choose not to cross-examine a Party or Witness, the Party shall affirmatively waive cross-examination through a written or oral statement to the Decision-maker. A Party's waiver of cross-examination does not eliminate the ability of the Decision-maker to use statements made by the Party.
- Throughout the proceedings, the Rules of Decorum, found in **Appendix C**, must be followed at all times.

5. Live Cross-Examination Procedure

Each party's advisor will conduct live cross-examination of the other party or parties and witnesses. During this live-cross examination, the advisor will ask the other party or parties and witnesses relevant questions and follow-up questions, including those challenging credibility directly, orally, and in real time.

Before any cross-examination question is answered, the decision-maker will determine if the question is relevant, as set forth in the Relevance Policy, attached as **Appendix B**. Cross-examination questions that are duplicative of those already asked, including by the decision-maker, may be deemed irrelevant if they have been asked and answered.

6. Review of Recording

The recording of the hearing will be available for review by the parties within five (5) business days, unless there are any extenuating circumstances. The recording of the hearing will not be provided to parties or advisors of choice.

J. <u>Determination Regarding Responsibility</u>

1. Standard of Proof

RSC uses the preponderance of the evidence standard for investigations and determinations regarding responsibility of Formal Complaints covered under this Policy. This means that the investigation and hearing determine whether it is more likely than not that a violation of the Policy occurred. The same standard of evidence applies for all Formal Complaints of Title IX Sexual Harassment.

2. General Considerations for Evaluating Testimony and Evidence

While the opportunity for cross-examination is required in all Title IX hearings, determinations regarding responsibility may be based in part, or entirely, on documentary, audiovisual, and digital evidence, as warranted in the reasoned judgment of the Decision-maker.

Decision-makers shall not draw inferences regarding a party or witness' credibility based on the party or witness' status as a complainant, respondent, or witness, nor shall it base its judgments in stereotypes about how a party or witness would or should act under the circumstances.

Generally, credibility judgments should rest on the demeanor of the party or witness, the plausibility of their testimony, the consistency of their testimony, and its reliability in light of corroborating or conflicting testimony or evidence.

Still, credibility judgments should not rest on whether a party or witness' testimony is non-linear or incomplete, or if the party or witness is displaying stress or anxiety.

Decision makers will afford the highest weight relative to other testimony to first-hand testimony by parties and witnesses regarding their own memory of specific facts that occurred. Both inculpatory and exculpatory (i.e. tending to prove and disprove the allegations) evidence will be weighed in equal fashion.

Except where specifically barred by the Title IX Final Rule, a witness' testimony regarding third-party knowledge of the facts at issue will be allowed, but will generally be accorded lower weight than testimony regarding direct knowledge of specific facts that occurred.

RSC allows parties to call "expert witnesses" for direct and cross examination. RSC does not provide for expert witnesses in other proceedings. While the expert witness will be allowed to testify and be crossed as required by the Final Rule, the decision-maker will be instructed to afford lower weight to non-factual testimony of the expert relative to fact witnesses, and any expert testimony that is not directed to the specific facts that occurred in the case will be afforded lower weight relative to fact witnesses, regardless of whether the expert witness testimony is the subject of cross examination and regardless of whether all parties present experts as witnesses.

RSC allows parties to call character witnesses to testify. RSC does not provide for character witnesses in other proceedings. While the character witnesses will be allowed to testify and be crossed as required by the Final Rule, the decision-maker will be instructed to afford very low weight to any non-factual character testimony of any witness.

RSC will admit and allow testimony regarding polygraph tests ("lie detector tests") and other procedures that are outside of standard use in academic and non-academic conduct processes. While the processes and testimony about them will be allowed to testify and be crossed as required by the Final Rule, the decision-maker will be instructed to afford lower weight to such processes relative to the testimony of fact witnesses.

Where a party or witness' conduct or statements demonstrate that the party or witness is engaging in retaliatory conduct, including but not limited to witness tampering and intimidation, the Decision-maker may draw an adverse inference as to that party or witness' credibility.

3. Components of the Determination Regarding Responsibility

The written Determination Regarding Responsibility will be issued simultaneously to all parties through their RSC email account, or other reasonable means as necessary. The Determination will include:

- Identification of the allegations potentially constituting Title IX Sexual Harassment;
- A description of the procedural steps taken from the receipt of the Formal Complaint through the determination, including any notifications to the parties, interviews with parties and witnesses, site visits, methods used to gather other evidence, and hearings held;
- Findings of fact supporting the determination;
- Conclusions regarding which section of the Code of Accountability, if any, the respondent has or has not violated.
- For each allegation:
 - o A statement of, and rationale for, a determination regarding responsibility;
 - o A statement of, and rationale for, any disciplinary sanctions the recipient imposes on the respondent; and
 - A statement of, and rationale for, whether remedies designed to restore or preserve equal access to the recipient's education program or activity will be provided by the recipient to the complainant; and
- The recipient's procedures and the permitted reasons for the complainant and respondent to appeal (described below in "Appeal").

4. <u>Disciplinary Penalties and Other Remedies</u>

Discipline for Title IX Sexual Harassment may take a variety of forms, depending upon the circumstances of a particular case.

- <u>Students</u>: The disciplinary sanctions which may be imposed on students who have been found responsible for committing Title IX Sexual Harassment are the following: warning, disciplinary probation, loss of privileges, fines, restitution, sanctions, parental/guardian notification, residence hall suspension, residence hall expulsion, short-term college suspension, long-term college suspension, administrative suspension, expulsion, revocation of degree, withholding degree, prohibition of professional practice, and mandatory assessment/counseling.
 - For crimes of violence, including sexual violence, RSC must make a notation on the transcript of the responsible party in accordance with the Mandatory Transcript Notations provision in the RSC Student Code of Accountability, available online here.
- Employees: The disciplinary sanctions which may be imposed on employees who have been found responsible for Title IX Sexual Harassment are the following: verbal warning, written reprimand, mandatory training session, no contact order, suspension without pay, termination, and/or termination with the issuance of a *persona non grata* letter.

RSC will also take appropriate remedial measures necessary to end such conduct, prevent any such future conduct, and correct any personnel or academic decisions made which are related to the prohibited conduct. Remedies may include, but are not limited to, continuing or commencing any of the above-listed "interim measures." These remedies are separate from, and in addition to, any supportive measures that may have been provided prior to the conclusion of the investigation.

5. Timeline of Determination Regarding Responsibility

If there are no extenuating circumstances, the determination regarding responsibility will be issued by RSC within ten (10) business days of the completion of the hearing.

6. Finality

The determination regarding responsibility becomes final either on the date that RSC provides the parties with the written determination of the result of the appeal, if an appeal is filed consistent with the procedures and timeline outlined in "Appeals" below, or if an appeal is not filed, the date on which the opportunity to appeal expires.

K. Appeals

Each party may appeal (1) the dismissal of a Formal Complaint or any included allegations and/or (2) a determination regarding responsibility. To appeal, a party must submit their written appeal with the Vice President for Student Life (for students), the Director of Human Resources (for non-faculty employees), or the Provost (for faculty employees) within two (2) business days of being notified of the decision, indicating the grounds for the appeal.

The limited grounds for appeal available are as follows:

- Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter (i.e. a failure to follow RSC's own procedures);
- New evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination regarding responsibility or dismissal was made, that could affect the outcome of the matter; and
- The Title IX Coordinator, investigator(s), or decision-maker(s) had a conflict of interest or bias for or against an individual party, or for or against complainants or respondents in general, that affected the outcome of the matter.

The submission of appeal stays any sanctions for the pendency of an appeal. Supportive measures and remote learning opportunities remain available during the pendency of the appeal.

If a party appeals, RSC will as soon as practicable notify the other party in writing of the appeal, however the time for appeal shall be offered equitably to all parties and shall not be extended for any party solely because the other party filed an appeal.

Appeals may be no longer than five (5) pages (including attachments). RSC can grant page increases at its discretion. Appeals should be submitted in electronic form using ARIAL or TIMES NEW ROMAN, 12 point font, and single-spaced. Appeals should use footnotes, not endnotes. Appeals that do not meet these standards may be returned to the party for correction,

but the time for appeal will not be extended unless there is evidence that technical malfunction caused the appeal document not to meet these standards.

If the Vice President for Student Life/Director of Human Resources/Provost finds that the appeal is not timely or substantively eligible according to the grounds for appeal, the original finding and sanction will stand and the decision is final. If the Vice President for Student Life/Director of Human Resources/Provost finds that the appeal is appropriate, the documentation from the investigation is forwarded to the Appellate Board (for students) or the Peer Review Panel (for employees) for consideration.

Appeals will be decided by a three (3) panel member Appellate Board/Peer Review Panel, which will be made up of faculty and administration, who will be free of conflict of interest and bias, and will not serve as investigator, Title IX Coordinator, or hearing decision maker in the same matter.

The outcome of appeal will be provided in writing simultaneously to both parties, and include the rationale for the decision.

L. Retaliation

RSC will keep the identity of any individual who has made a report or complaint of sex discrimination confidential, including the identity of any individual who has made a report or filed a Formal Complaint of Title IX Sexual Harassment under this Title IX Grievance Policy, any Complainant, any individual who has been reported to be the perpetrator of sex discrimination, any Respondent, and any witness, except as permitted by the FERPA statute, 20 U.S.C. 1232g, or FERPA regulations, 34 CFR part 99, or as required by law, or to carry out the purposes of 34 CFR part 106, including the conduct of any investigation, hearing, or judicial proceeding under this Title IX Grievance Policy.

No person may intimidate, threaten, coerce, or discriminate against any individual for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 or its implementing regulations.

No person may intimidate, threaten, coerce, or discriminate against any individual because the individual has made a report or complaint, testified, assisted, or participated or refused to participate in any manner in an investigation, proceeding or hearing under this Title IX Grievance Policy.

Any intimidation, threats, coercion, or discrimination, for the purpose of interfering with any right or privilege secured by Title IX or its implementing regulations constitutes retaliation. This includes any charges filed against an individual for Code of Accountability violations that do not involve sex discrimination or Title IX Sexual Harassment, but that arise from the same facts or circumstances as a report or complaint of sex discrimination or a report or Formal Complaint of Title IX Sexual Harassment.

Complaints alleging retaliation may be filed according to the Sexual Offense Policy and/or Discrimination and Harassment Policy.

Updated: July 2025

Appendix A

Bias/Conflict of Interest

conflicts of interest and bias, regardless of the employment or supervisory relationships among various Title IX "[R]ecipients can comply with the final regulations by using the recipient's own employees" Title IX 85 Fed. Reg. 30,026, 30,252 (May 19, 2020) (to be codified at 34 C.F.R. pt. 106). needed to serve impartially and without bias such that the prior professional experience of a person whom a recipient would like to have in a Title IX role need not disqualify the person from obtaining the requisite "The Department will hold a recipient accountable for the end result of using Title IX personnel free from Please note: Although the Department wishes only to prescribe those measures necessary for compliance, they do recognize that recipients may adopt additional "best "[T]he very training required by § 106.45(b)(1)(iii) is intended to provide Title IX personnel with the tools The Department declines to require recipients to use outside, unaffiliated Title IX personnel because the "[T]he final regulations do not prescribe any particular administrative 'chain of reporting' restrictions or Department does not conclude that such prescription is necessary to effectuate the purposes of the final regulations." Id. at 30,252. '[T]he Department declines to define certain employment relationships or administrative hierarchy declare any such administrative arrangements to be per se conflicts of interest prohibited under § urrangements as per se prohibited conflicts of interest" Id. at 30,252. training to serve impartially in a Title IX role." Id. at 30.252. Professional Experiences or Affiliations 106.45(b)(1)(iii)." Id. at 30,252 n.1035. deciding that Title IX Coordinators should have no role in the hiring or firing of investigators. Id. Employment Status personnel" Id. at 30,252. ensuring that investigators have institutional independence practices" to avoid violating the regulations. See id. at 30,252. S S The Department specifically names two such practices: å S S S If the Title IX official is an employee of the relationship with other Title IX personnel? A history of working in the field of sexual If the Title IX official is "affiliated" with If the Title IX Official has a supervisory Prior work as a defense attorney Prior work as a victim advocate the recipient? recipient? violence

Is it Bias/ a Conflict of Interest (per se and on its own):

		Other Characteristics
Student of the recipient	No	"The Department notes that the final regulations do not preclude a recipient from allowing student leaders to serve in Title IX roles so long as the recipient can meet all requirements in § 106.45 and these final regulations, and leaves it to a recipient's judgment to decide under what circumstances, if any, a recipient wants to involve student leaders in Title IX roles." Id. at 30,253.
Gender	No	The Department cautions recipients not to apply generalizations when evaluating particular Title IX personnel
Sexual assault survivor	No	for bias, warning that this may result in an unreasonable determination. Id. at 30,252.
Self-professed feminist	No	
Supporting women's or men's rights	No	
Having had personal, negative experiences	No	
with men or women		
Determining whether bias exists is a fact-specific or person standard when evaluating personnel. <i>Id.</i>	endeavor. See id. a	Determining whether bias exists is a fact-specific endeavor. See id. at 30,252. The Department encourages recipients to apply a common-sense and "objective" reasonable person standard when evaluating personnel. Id.
	Grie	Grievance Process Participation
Signing a formal complaint as the Title IX Coordinator	No	"Even where the Title IX Coordinator is also the investigator, the Title IX Coordinator must be trained to serve impartially, and the Title IX Coordinator does not lose impartiality solely due to signing a formal complaint on the recipient's behalf." Id. at 30, 135.
Participating in an emergency removal decision	No, unless it biases the	"Section 106.44(c) does not preclude a recipient from using Title IX personnel trained under § 106.45(b)(1)(iii) to make the emergency removal decision or conduct a post-removal challenge proceeding, but if involvement
	employee	with the emergency removal process results in bias or conflict of interest for or against the complainant or respondent, § 106.45(b)(1)(iii) would preclude such personnel from serving in those roles during a grievance process." Id. at 30,235.
Serving as a party advisor	Exempt from requirement not to have hias	"The final regulations impose no prohibition of conflict of interest or bias for such advisors, nor any training requirement for such advisors, in order to leave recipients as much flexibility as possible to comply with the requirement to provide those advisors." <i>Id.</i> at 30,254 n.1041.
Title IX coordinator serving as		
investigator	No	"The final regulations would not remove the expertise of Title IX Coordinators from the grievance process. Section 106.45(b)(7)(i) does not prevent the Title IX Coordinator from serving as the investigator, rather, this provision only prohibits the decision-maker from being the same person as either the Title IX Coordinator or the investigator." Id. at 30,370.
informal resolution facilitator	No, but not recommended	"These final regulations do not require a recipient to provide an informal resolution process pursuant to § 106.45(b)(9) and do not preclude the Title IX Coordinator from serving as the person designated by a recipient to facilitate an informal resolution process." Id. at 30,558.
decision-maker	Yes	"Separating the functions of a Title IX Coordinator from those of the decision-maker is no reflection on the ability of Title IX Coordinators to serve impartially and with expertise. Rather, requiring different individuals to serve in those roles acknowledges that the different phases of a report and formal complaint of sexual harassment serve distinct purposes." Id. at 30,370.

Title IX Investigator serving as. Yes 10,45(9)(9)(iii) make ai clear that the appeal decision-maker cannot be the same person as the decision-maker serving as. 17th EX Coordinator or investigator or investigator serving as. 17th EX Coordinator page of the serving as. 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as investigator as investigator Yes 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as investigator Yes 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as investigator Yes 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as investigator Yes 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as investigator Yes 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as investigator Yes 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as investigator Yes 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as investigator Yes 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as investigator Yes 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as investigator Yes 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as investigator Yes 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as interesting decision-maker Yes 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as interesting and algudesiator place in the Trite IX Coordinator may also serve as interesting and promptor of page lasted to review the determination reached 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as bearing decision-maker 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as bearing decision-maker 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as bearing decision-maker 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as bearing decision-maker 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as bearing decision-maker 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as bearing decision-maker 17th EX Coordinator may also serve as also serve maker 17th EX Coordinator may be a fifteent person than the person who made			
Title IX hovestigator serving as Caccision-maker Yes See above. The Title IX coordinator may also serve as investigator. Id. at 30,370.	appeal decision-maker	Yes	"§ 106.45(b)(8)(iii) makes it clear that the appeal decision-maker cannot be the same person as the decision-maker below, or as the Title IX Coordinator or investigator in the case. This ensures that the recipient's appeal decision reviews the underlying case independently." Id. at 30,399.
appeal decision-maker Yes See above. The Title IX coordinator may also serve as investigator. It at 30,370. Hearing decision-maker serving as Title IX coordinator See above. The Title IX coordinator may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is a see above. The Title IX coordinator may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is coordinator may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is see above. The Title IX coordinator may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is see above. The Title IX coordinator may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is see above. The Title IX coordinator may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is see above. The Title IX coordinator may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is see above. The Title IX coordinator may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is see above. The Title IX coordinator may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is important for the decision-maker of particular on pepeal decision-maker. It is seed to review the tritial decision maker. It is final regular decision-maker. It is a 30,372. Hearing officer (should one be used) serving as Hearing officer (should one be used) serving as The Department asserts there is danger in Title IX presented to decision-maker as decision-maker, and the final regulations do not prevent the same possibility of these improper influences. If The Department lealshility of those determinations. Id. If Department lealshility of those determinations. Id. If Department lealshility of non-response that ecentain maker and decision-maker and decision-maker that are fact that a certain number of particular outcomes either and produced from one position decision-maker and decision-maker that are seeded from one position are seed only on the responsibility of these improper influences. If The Department earning has based on the outcomes of prior grievance processorly indicate or imply bias on the part of Title IX in Propertment earning against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance processorly and a	Title IX Investigator serving as		
Hearing decision-maker serving as Hearing decision-maker serving as If It It IX coordinator my not serve as hearing decision-maker that it is more than the risk and perception of bias, increase the reliability of these improper influences. If It Department asserts there is danger in Tid IX personnel who serve in multiple roles being impropely influences. If It Department of particular outcomes either No and a part of the decision-maker may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is important of the decision-maker may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is the Tid IX given reduce the risk and perception of bias, increase the reliability of the decision-maker may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is a protein of the decision-maker may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is a protein for the decision-maker may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is a protein for the decision-maker may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is a protein for the decision-maker may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is a protein for the decision-maker may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is a protein for the decision-maker may not serve as hearing decision-maker. It is a solution of the additionary of the decision-maker may not serve a be different person than the Tid IX for some of the interval decision-maker. No and a solution of the continuation of decision-maker must be a different person than the Tide IX Coordinates and adjusticative functions of a give-water protein may be doubted (primarily separating the investigative and adjudicative to complete the many personal trainability of hose determinations. Id. The apparation of these improper influences. Id. The Department believes that creating this separating the investigative and adjudicative functions of a give-water responsibility. No and a solution of the continuation of the continuation of the continuation of particular outcomes either. No and a solution of the continuation of the continuation of the continuation of the continuatio	Title IX coordinator	No	See above. The Title IX coordinator may also serve as investigator. Id. at 30,370.
Hearing decision-maker serving as Hearing decision-maker serving as Title IX coordinator Title IX coordinator Title IX coordinator Investigator appeal decision-maker Hearing officer (should one be used) serving as Hearing officer (should one be used) serving as Hearing officer (should one be used) serving as The Department assets there is danger in Title IX personnel who serve in multiple tools be unique of particular outcomes either The Department sestes there is danger in Title IX personnel who serve in multiple tools be used to addicative functions of a girkwahe possibility or non-responsibility and the page of the page of the properties of the page of the pag	decision-maker	Yes	"Separating the roles of investigation from adjudication therefore protects both parties by making a fact-based
Hearing decision-maker serving as Trite IX coordinator Yes reduce the risk and perception of bias, increase the reliability of fact-finding, and presponsibility, which responsibility, does not necessarily indicate or imply bias on the part of private person the reliability of these improper influences. Id. The Department asserts there is danger in Title IX personnel who serve in multiple roles being improperly influenced by informations are based only on the rapport of private in the processor of the roles of a decision-maker. In the present of decision-maker roles in the Title IX coordinates and present of the roles of a hearing officer (presentably to oversee or compared to any claim of bias or conflict of decision-maker). Id. at 30,369, 30,370. Setting limitations on which roles may be doubled (primarily separating the investigative and adjudicative functions of griceware process are adequately influenced by information gleaned from one position (decision-maker). The Department asserts there is danger in Title IX personnel who serve in multiple roles being improperly influenced by information gleaned from one position (decision-maker) and adjudicative functions of griceware process are adequately independent and effective in curing possible unfair responsibility or non-responsibility. All the presentations are based only on the representation of the responsibility, does not necessarily indicate or imply bias on the part of Title IX personnel who cannot provide the responsibility, does not necessarily indicate or imply bias on the part of Title IX is the province of the roles of outcomes gently and the continuations of responsibility. The Department believes that creating this separation will be be out the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. All at 30,322. Because the regulations requires each case? The Department asserts the responsibility or non-responsibility.	appeal decision-maker	Yes	determination regarding responsibility based on objective evaluation of relevant evidence more likely." Id. at 30,370.
Title IX coordinator Yes See above. The Title IX coordinator may not serve as hearing decision-maker. Id. investigator Yes Title IX coordinator bias, investigative roles in the Title IX grive reduce the risk and perception bias in the Title IX grive reduce the risk and perception bias in the Title IX grive reduce the risk and perception bias. appeal decision-maker Hearing officer (should one be used) serving as Accision-maker No decision-maker in a 30,363. a 30,369. 30,370. Setting limitatons on which roles may be doubted (primarily separating the investigative and adjusticative in curing possible unfair a 30,370. Setting limitatons on which roles may be doubted (primarily separating the investigative and adjusticative in curing possible unfair responsibility of these improper influences. Id. The Department believes that creating the investigative and adjusticative functions of a grievance processes are adequately influenced by information gleaned from one position responsibility. The number of particular outcomes either No determinations of responsibility of non-responsibility of non-responsibility does not necessarily indicate or imply bias on the part of Title IX personnel who serve in multiple roles being inproperty influenced by information gleaned from one position responsibility. The perameter certain number of outcomes result in determinations of responsibility. The peparations against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. at 30,252. Because the regulations require the regulations require each case?	Hearing decision-maker serving as		
Hearing officer (should one be used) serving as. The Department asserts there is danger in Title IX personnel who serve in multiple roles being improperly finds in the Title IX personnel who serve in multiple roles being improperly finds in the possibility of these determinations on which roles determinations on which roles determinations on which roles determinations on which roles determinations are abased on the outcomes of prior grivance processors of the processes are adequately indicate or imply bias on the possibility of these determinations. The number of particular outcomes either and determinations or indicating this separation will help to ensure that determinations are based only on the responsibility or non-responsibility of non-responsibility or non-responsibility o	Title IX coordinator	Yes	See above. The Title IX coordinator may not serve as hearing decision-maker. Id. at 30,370.
Hearing officer (should one be used) serving as "With respect to the roles of a hearing officer and decision-maker, the final regulations of the same roles." Id. at 30,363. "With respect to the roles of a hearing officer and decision-maker, the final regulations do not prevent the same roles." Id. at 30,372. "With respect to the roles of a hearing officer (presumably to oversee or confined decision-maker)." Id. at 30,372. "With respect to the roles of a hearing officer and decision-maker, the final regulations do not prevent the same roles." Id. at 30,372. The Department asserts there is danger in Title IX personnel who serve in multiple roles being improperly influenced by information gleaned from one position id. at 30,369, 30,370. Setting limitations on which roles may be doubled (primarily separating the investigative and adjudicative functions of a grievance process possibility of those determinations. Id. Crievance Process Outcomes "The number of particular outcomes either a certain number of outcomes result in determinations of responsibility or non-responsibility or non-respons	investigator	Yes	"[F]ormally separating the investigative and adjudicative roles in the Title IX grievance process is important to reduce the risk and perception of bias, increase the reliability of fact-finding, and promote sound bases for responsibility determinations" Id. at 30,368.
Hearing officer (should one be used) serving as Nodecision-maker "With respect to the roles of a hearing officer and decision-maker, the final regular describing to the content asserts there is danger in Title IX personnel who serve in multiple roles being improperly influenced by information gleaned from one position determinations on which roles may be doubled (primarily separating will help to ensure that determinations or a grievance process possibility of these improper influences. Id. The Department believes that creating this separation will help to ensure that determinations are based only on the responsibility. The Department saginst presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. The Department eautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. The Department eautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. The Department eautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. The Department eautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. The Department eautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. The Department eautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. The Department eautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. The Department eautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. The Department eautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. The Department eautions against presuming bias presument eautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. The Department eautions against presument e	appeal decision-maker	Yes	n- maker iuse the d including
decision-maker The Department asserts there is danger in Title IX personnel who serve in multiple roles being improperly influenced by information of responsibility of those determinations. Id. The number of particular outcomes either The Department cautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. at 30,252. Because the regulations are based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. at 30,252. Because the regulations require responsibility. "With respect to the roles of a hearing officer and decision-maker, and the final regulations do not prevent the same and apart from a decision-maker, and the final regulations do not prevent the same decision-maker. "The age along the reading the process are adequately influenced by information of responsibility. Will help to decision-maker must be a different person than the Title IX Coordinal appeal processes are adequately independent and effective in curing possible unfain appeal processes are adequately influenced by information of responsibility. Will help to ensure that determinations of a grievance process possibility or non-responsibility, does not necessarily indicate or imply bias on the part of Title IX personsibility. The Department cautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. at 30,252. Because the regulations require each case?	Hearing officer (should one be used) serving	as	
The Department asserts there is danger in Title IX personnel who serve in multiple roles being improperly influenced by information of responsibility, will help to appeal processes are adequately independent and effective in curing possible unfair therefore increase the overall reliability of those determinations. Id. The number of particular outcomes either The number of particular outcomes either The Department believes that creating this separation will help to ensure that determinations are based only on the responsibility or non-responsibility. The Department believes on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. The Department believes that a certain number of outcomes result in determinations of responsibility. The number of particular outcomes either responsibility or non-responsibility. The Department cautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. at 30,252. Because the regulations require each case is a decision-maker and ediction of responsibility. The Department cautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. at 30,252. Because the regulations require each case is a decision-maker and edifferent personning procession and procedures. Id. at 30,252. Because the regulations require each case is a decision-maker and decision of responsibility.	decision-maker	No	"With respect to the roles of a hearing officer and decision- maker, the final regulations leave recipients discretion to decide whether to have a hearing officer (presumably to oversee or conduct a hearing) separate and apart from a decision-maker, and the final regulations do not prevent the same individual serving in both roles." Id. at 30,372.
The Department asserts there is danger in Title IX personnel who serve in multiple roles being improperly influenced by information gleaned from one position vid. at 30,369, 30,370. Setting limitations on which roles may be doubled (primarily separating the investigative and adjudicative functions of a grievance process possibility of these improper influences. Id. The Department believes that creating this separation will help to ensure that determinations are based only on the retherefore increase the overall reliability of those determinations. Id. Grievance Process Outcomes The number of particular outcomes either a creation number of outcomes result in determinations of responsibility or non-responsibility. The Department cautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. at 30,252. Because the regulations require each case is	appeal decision-maker	Yes	"[T]he appeal decision-maker must be a different person than the Title IX Coordinator or any investigators or decision-makers that reached the initial determination of responsibility, will help to ensure that recipients' appeal processes are adequately independent and effective in curing possible unfairness or error. "Id. at 30,399.
The number of particular outcomes either The number of particular outcomes either Action of particular outcomes either of outcomes result in determinations of responsibility, does not necessarily indicate or imply bias on the part of Title IX peresponsibility. The Department cautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. at 30,252. Because the regulations require each case is	The Department asserts there is danger in Title IX perso id. at 30,369, 30,370. Setting limitations on which roles possibility of these improper influences. Id. The Depart therefore increase the overall reliability of those determ	onnel who serve in m may be doubled (pri ment believes that cr inations. Id.	ultiple roles being improperly influenced by information gleaned from one position when serving in another. See narily separating the investigative and adjudicative functions of a grievance process) protects against the ating this separation will help to ensure that determinations are based only on the relevant evidence and
The number of particular outcomes either determining responsibility or non-responsibility The number of particular outcomes either or imply bias on the part of Title IX peresponsibility The Department cautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. at 30,252. Because the regulations require each case is		Gr	evance Process Outcomes
The Department cautions against presuming bias based on the outcomes of prior grievance procedures. Id. at 30,252. Because the regulations require each case by	The number of particular outcomes either determining responsibility or non-responsibility	No	"the mere fact that a certain number of outcomes result in determinations of responsibility, or non- responsibility, does not necessarily indicate or imply bias on the part of Title IX personnel" Id. at 30,252.
the number of particular outcomes determining responsibility or non-responsibility, alone, do not indicate bias. Id.	The Department cautions against presuming bias based the number of particular outcomes determining responsi	on the outcomes of pibility or non-respon	ior grievance procedures. Id. at 30,252. Because the regulations require each case be determined on its merits, ibility, alone, do not indicate bias. Id.

Appendix B

Guide for Determining Relevance

What is the purpose of this Guide?

On May 19, 2020, the U.S. Department of Education issued Final Rules governing the Title IX grievance process, effective August 14, 2020. The Final Rule requires that all colleges and universities hold a live hearing before making any determination regarding responsibility for covered reports of Title IX Sexual Harassment, including sexual violence. This hearing must provide for live cross-examination by the parties' advisors.

Any question posed by the advisors must be evaluated for "relevance" in real time by the hearing officer. According to Final Rule §106.45(b)(6)(i):

Only relevant cross-examination and other questions may be asked of a party or witness. Before a complainant, respondent, or witness answers a cross-examination or other question, the decision-maker(s) must first determine whether the question is relevant and explain any decision to exclude a question as not relevant.

What is a relevant question?

The Department of Education encourages institutions to apply the "plain and ordinary meaning" of relevance in their determinations. 85 Fed. Reg. 30026, 30304 (May 19, 2020). Basically, a relevant question will ask whether the facts material to the allegations under investigation are more or less likely to be true. Id. at 30294. A question not directly related to the allegations will generally be irrelevant.

Officials should use common sense in this understanding. Things may be interesting or surprising but not be relevant.

Relevance decisions should be made on a question-by-question basis, looking narrowly at whether the question seeks information that will aid the decision-maker in making the underlying determination. The relevance decision should not be based on who asked the question, their possible (or clearly stated) motives, who the question is directed to, or the tone or style used to ask about the fact. Relevance decisions should not be based in whole or in part upon the sex or gender of the party for whom it is asked or to whom it is asked, nor based upon their status as complainant or respondent, past status as complainant or respondent, any organizations of which they are a member, or any other protected class covered by federal or state law (e.g. race, sexual orientation, disability).

If a question is relevant but offered in an abusive or argumentative manner, the decision-maker has the discretion to ask the advisor to rephrase the question in an appropriate manner, consistent with the institution's decorum policy for hearings.

What if the question is "prejudicial" and concerns sensitive or embarrassing issues?

Much of the content within these hearings may be considered sensitive and/or embarrassing by parties or advisors. However, relevant questions need to be considered even if a party or advisor believes the danger of unfair prejudice substantially outweighs their probative value. Only irrelevant questions (detailed below), including about the complainant's prior sexual history, may be excluded.

What is an irrelevant question?

1. Question about Complainant's Prior Sexual Behavior or Sexual Predisposition

Questions and evidence about the complainant's sexual predisposition or prior sexual behavior are not relevant, unless:

- such questions and evidence about the complainant's prior sexual behavior are offered to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the conduct alleged by the complainant, or
- if the questions and evidence concern specific incidents of the complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the respondent and are offered to prove consent.

2. Question regarding Privileged Information

Questions that constitute, or seek disclosure of, information protected under a legally-recognized privilege are irrelevant. In New York, individuals with legal privilege may include attorneys, medical providers (physician, dentist, podiatrist, chiropractor, nurse), psychologists, clergy, rape crisis counselors, and social workers. New York's laws of privilege are listed within CPLR Article 45.

3. Questions about Undisclosed Medical Records

Questions that call for information about any party's medical, psychological, and similar records are irrelevant unless the party has given voluntary, written consent.

4. Duplicative Questions

Questions that repeat, in sum or substance, questions already asked by the decision-maker prior to cross-examination, or by a party's advisor during cross-examination (and if part of your process, during direct examination), may be ruled duplicative, and therefore irrelevant.

How should the decision-maker reach a relevance determination?

If the decision-maker is a single individual, the decision-maker will be solely responsible for determining the relevance of the question before it is asked.

If the decision-maker is a panel, the panel's Chair will make all determinations of relevance.

What should the relevance determination consist of?

The Department of Education explains that the Final Rule "does not require a decision-maker to give a lengthy or complicated explanation" in support of a relevance determination. Rather, "it is

sufficient, for example, for a decisionmaker to explain that a question is irrelevant because the question calls for prior sexual behavior information without meeting one of the two exceptions, or because the question asks about a detail that is not probative of any material fact concerning the allegations."

As such, the decision-maker need only provide a brief explanation of the determination, which will ordinarily consist of one of the following statements depending on the situation.

1. Generally probative questions

- The question is relevant because it asks whether a fact material to the allegations is more or less likely to be true.
- The question is irrelevant because it asks about a detail that does not touch on whether a material fact concerning the allegations is more or less likely to be true.

2. Question about Complainant's Prior Sexual Behavior or Sexual Predisposition

- The question is relevant because although it calls for prior sexual behavior information about the complainant, it meets one of the two exceptions to the rape shield protections defined in 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(6)(i), and it tends to prove that a material fact at issue is more or less likely to be true [denote which exception].
 - Exception one: The question is asked to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the conduct alleged by the complainant.
 - Exception two: The question concerns specific incidents of the complainant's prior sexual behavior with respect to the respondent and is asked to prove consent
- The question is irrelevant because it calls for prior sexual behavior information about the complainant without meeting one of the two exceptions to the rape shield protections defined in 34 C.F.R. § 106.45(b)(6)(i).

3. Question regarding Privileged Information

- The question is irrelevant because it calls for information shielded by a legally-recognized privilege [identify the privilege].
- The question is relevant because, although it calls for information shielded by a legally-recognized privilege [identify the privilege], that privilege has been waived in writing, and the question tends to prove that a material fact at issue is more or less likely to be true.

4. Questions about Undisclosed Medical Records

- The question is irrelevant because it calls for information regarding a party's medical, psychological, or similar record without that party's voluntary, written consent.
- This question is relevant because although it calls for a party's medical, psychological, or similar records, that party has given their voluntary, written consent to including this material, and it tends to prove that a material fact at issue is more or less likely to be true. 85 Fed. Reg. 30026, 30294 (May 19, 2020).

5. <u>Duplicative Questions</u>

• The question is irrelevant because it is duplicative of a question that was asked and answered.

The decision-maker may relay a longer explanation if necessary under the circumstances.

The relevance determination will be conveyed orally, except as needed to accommodate a disclosed disability of a hearing participant, and all relevance determinations will be preserved in the record of the proceeding.

May the parties and/or their advisors ask the decision-maker to reconsider their relevance decision?

Any party or their advisor may request that the decision-maker reconsider their relevance determination.

The decision-maker may deny or grant the request to reconsider. This determination is final, but may be subject to appeal under the Title IX Grievance Process.

Appendix C

Model Decorum Policy for Title IX Grievance Process Hearings

Purpose of the Rules of Decorum

Title IX hearings are not civil or criminal proceedings, and are not designed to mimic formal trial proceedings. They are primarily educational in nature, and the U.S. Department of Education, writing about Title IX in the Final Rule "purposefully designed these final regulations to allow recipients to retain flexibility to adopt rules of decorum that prohibit any party advisor or decision-maker from questioning witnesses in an abusive, intimidating, or disrespectful manner." 85 Fed. Reg. 30026, 30319 (May 19, 2020). The Department has determined that institutions "are in a better position than the Department to craft rules of decorum best suited to their educational environment" and build a hearing process that will reassure the parties that the institution "is not throwing a party to the proverbial wolves." <u>Id</u>.

To achieve this purpose, institutions may provide for reasonable rules of order and decorum, which may be enforced through the removal of an advisor who refuses to comply with the rules. Id., at 30320. As the Department explains, the removal process "incentivizes a party to work with an advisor of choice in a manner that complies with a recipient's rules that govern the conduct of a hearing, and incentivizes colleges and universities to appoint advisors who also will comply with such rules, so that hearings are conducted with respect for all participants." Id.

At base, these Rules of Decorum require that all parties, advisors of choice, and institutional staff treat others who are engaged in the process with respect.

The rules and standards apply equally to all Parties and their Advisors regardless of sex, gender, or other protected class, and regardless of whether they are in the role of Complainant or Respondent.

Rules of Decorum

The following Rules of Decorum are to be observed in the hearing and applied equally to all parties (meaning the complainant and respondent) and advisors:

- Questions must be conveyed in a neutral tone.
- Parties and advisors will refer to other parties, witnesses, advisors, and institutional staff using the name and gender used by the person and shall not intentionally misname or mis-gender that person in communication or questioning.
- No party may act abusively or disrespectfully during the hearing toward any other party or to witnesses, advisors, or decision-makers.
- While an advisor may be an attorney, no duty of zealous advocacy should be inferred or enforced within this forum.
- The advisor may not yell, scream, badger, or physically "lean in" to a party or witness's personal space. Advisors may not approach the other party or witnesses without obtaining permission from the decision-maker.
- The advisor may not use profanity or make irrelevant ad hominem attacks upon a party or witness. Questions are meant to be interrogative statements used to test

knowledge or understand a fact; they may not include accusations within the text of the question.

- The advisor may not ask repetitive questions. This includes questions that have already been asked by the decision-maker, the advisor in cross-examination, or [if this is the institutional process] the party or advisor in direct testimony. When the decision-maker determines a question has been "asked and answered" or is otherwise not relevant, the advisor must move on.
- Parties and advisors may take no action at the hearing that a reasonable person in the shoes of the affected party would see as intended to intimidate that person (whether party, witness, or official) into not participating in the process or meaningfully modifying their participation in the process.

Warning and Removal Process

The decision-maker shall have sole discretion to determine if the Rules of Decorum have been violated. The decision-maker will notify the offending person of any violation of the Rules.

Upon a second or further violation of the Rules, the decision-maker shall have discretion to remove the offending person or allow them to continue participating in the hearing or other part of the process.

Where the decision-maker removes a party's advisor, the party may select a different advisor of their choice, or accept an advisor provided by the institution for the limited purpose of cross-examination at the hearing. Reasonable delays, including the temporary adjournment of the hearing, may be anticipated should an advisor be removed. A party cannot serve as their own advisor in this circumstance.

The decision-maker shall document any decision to remove an advisor in the written determination regarding responsibility.

Violations of this Policy

For flagrant, multiple, or continual violations of this Rule, in one or more proceedings, advisors may be prohibited from participating in future proceedings at the institution in the advisor role on a temporary or permanent basis.

Evidence of violation(s) of this agreement will be gathered by the Title IX Coordinator, Director of Student Conduct, or a designee of either and presented to the Vice President for Student Affairs for cases involving students/Director of Human Resources for cases involving employees/Other Appropriate Staff Member.

The Advisor accused may provide an explanation or alternative evidence in writing for consideration by the Vice President for Student Affairs for cases involving students/Director of Human Resources for cases involving employees/Other Appropriate Staff Member. Such evidence or explanation is due within fifteen (15) business days of receipt of a notice of a charge of re-disclosure or improper access to records. There shall be no right to a live hearing, oral testimony, or cross-examination.

The Vice President for Student Affairs for cases involving students/Director of Human Resources for cases involving employees/Other Appropriate Staff Member shall consider the evidence under a preponderance of the evidence standard and issue a finding in writing and, if the finding is Responsible, shall include a Sanction. The finding shall be issued in writing to all Parties and Advisors (if there is a current case pending) within thirty (30) business days unless extended for good cause.

There is no appeal of this finding. Sanctions shall be higher for intentional re-disclosure of records than for negligent re-discourse. In the event that an Advisor is barred permanently or for a term from serving in the role as Advisor in the future, they may request a review of that bar from the Vice President for Student Affairs for cases involving students/Director of Human Resources for cases involving employees/Other Appropriate Staff Member no earlier than three-hundred and sixty-five (365) days after the date of the findings letter.

Relevant Questions Asked in Violation of the Rules of Decorum

Where an advisor asks a relevant question in a manner that violates the Rules, such as yelling, screaming, badgering, or leaning-in to the witness or party's personal space, the question may not be deemed irrelevant by the decision-maker simply because of the manner it was delivered. Under that circumstance, the decision-maker will notify the advisor of the violation of the Rules, and, if the question is relevant, will allow the question to be re-asked in a respectful, non-abusive manner by the advisor (or a replacement advisor, should the advisor be removed for violation of the Rules).

Appendix D

I. Procedure for Responding to a Sexual Assault, Relationship Violence, and Stalking

If you believe you are the victim of a Sexual Assault, Relationship Violence, or Stalking, you should follow the following procedures:

- **A.** Get yourself to a safe place. UHA Public Safety, the Albany Police Department, the Troy Police Department, and/or the New York State Police can help you do this. Officers of those agencies are trained to respond to the needs of a victim of a Sexual Assault. They can also make you aware of support and advocacy services and advise you about reporting procedures and requirements.
- **B.** Obtain medical attention. For your safety and well-being, immediate medical attention is encouraged. Further, being examined as soon as possible is important in the case of sexual assault. Within 96 hours of an assault, you can get a Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (or "SAFE," commonly referred to as a rape kit) at a hospital. We encourage you to receive immediate medical attention at a facility that uses SAFE, or Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners. Completing a SAFE will not require you to file a police report, but it will help to preserve evidence in case you decide at a later date to file a police report. Local hospitals, with and without SAFE, include the following:

Program/ Entity	SAFE Facility?	Location	Contact Information
Samaritan Hospital	Yes	2215 Burdett Ave. Troy, NY 12180	P: 518-271-3300
St. Mary's Hospital	No	1300 Massachusetts Ave. Troy, NY 12180	P: 518-268-5000
Albany Medical Center	Yes	43 New Scotland Ave. Albany, NY 12208	P: 518-262-3125
St. Peter's Hospital	No	315 S. Manning Blvd. Albany, NY 12208	P: 518-525-1550
Albany Memorial Hospital	Yes	600 Northern Blvd. Albany, NY 12204	P: 518-471-3221

While there should be no charge from these hospitals for a rape kit, there may be charges for medical or counseling services off campus and, in some cases, insurance may be billed for services. You are encouraged to let hospital personnel know if you do not want your insurance policyholder to be notified about your access to these services.

You may also receive medical services from RSC's Wellness Center. These services are provided at no cost to the reporting individual. The Wellness Center can be located and/or contacted as follows:

Kellas Hall, lower level	Kahl Campus Center, lower level
First Street	140 New Scotland Avenue
Troy, New York 12180	Albany, New York 12208
troywellnesscenter @RSC.edu	albanywellnesscenter @RSC.edu
Phone: (518) 244-2261	Phone: (518) 292-1917
Fax: (518) 244-2262	Fax: (518) 292-1918

Sexual contact can transmit Sexually Transmitted Infections (STI) and may result in pregnancy. Testing for STIs and emergency contraception is available at:

Program/ Address	Telephone	Hours	Cost	Notes
Albany County Health Department STD Clinic 175 Green St Albany, NY 12202	(518) 447-4589	Tue-Thu 8:30-10:00 AM (check-in)	For a fee. Students must be Albany county residents or full-time students living in campus housing.	Patients are seen on a first come first serve basis. Clinic hours listed are for check-in. Clinic remains open until all patients are seen.
Rensselaer County Health Dept 1600 7th Ave Second Floor Troy, NY 12180	(518) 270-2655	Wed 2:00-4:30 PM	Free	Walk-in clinic

Remember that this medical treatment may also provide the opportunity for the collection and documentation of evidence, should you decide to pursue the incident and offender through the legal system. UHA Public Safety, the Albany Police Department, the Troy Police Department, and/or the New York State Police may assist you in this effort as well.

The New York State Office of Victim Services may also be able to assist in compensating victims/survivors for health care and counseling services, including emergency funds. More information may be found here:

http://www.ovs.ny.gov/files/ovs_rights_of_cv_booklet.pdf, or by calling 1-800-247-8035. Options are explained here: http://www.ovs.ny.gov/helpforcrimevictims.html.

- C. Preserve Evidence. We encourage you to take steps to preserve any and all evidence when a Sexual Assault occurs, as this evidence may be necessary to prove that the Assault took place and/or to obtain a protective order. Be aware that the location of the Assault, your clothing, and your person may be considered a "crime scene," and as such, a source of evidence. The location of the incident should be safeguarded and the victim should avoid washing, douching, using the toilet or changing clothes prior to a medical/legal exam. To best preserve evidence, victims/survivors should avoid showering, washing, changing clothes, combing hair, drinking, eating, or doing anything to alter physical appearance until after a physical exam has been completed.
- **D.** Report the incident. RSC encourages, but does not require, victims, survivors, complainants, claimants, and witnesses with victim status of Sexual Assaults (reporting individuals) to report the incident to one or more of the following resources:
 - 1. First, you may (but are not required to) report a Sexual Assault to local law enforcement (such as the Albany Police Department or the Troy Police Department), and/or the New York State Police for the sole purpose of documentation. You may also (but are not required to) report it to local law enforcement and/or State Police so that they may investigate the matter and identify an offender. You may contact local and state law enforcement as follows:
 - Albany Police Department

Address: 165 Henry Johnson Blvd., Albany NY 12210

Emergencies: 9-1-1

Non-Emergencies: (518) 438-4000

Website:

http://www.albanyny.org/Government/Departments/PoliceDepartment.aspx

• Troy Police Department

Address: Central Station, 55 State Street, Troy NY 12180

Emergencies: 9-1-1

Non-Emergencies: (518) 270-4411

Website: http://www.troypd.org/Departments/PoliceDepartment/Home.aspx

• New York State Police, Troop G

General Address: 760 Troy Schenectady Road, Latham, NY 12110

General Phone: (518) 783-3211

 Zone 1 – Troy: 295 Brickchurch Road, Troy, NY 12180; (518) 279-4426

Zone 4 – Albany: Concourse, Empire State Plaza, Albany, NY 12242;
 (518) 474-5331

If you want or need assistance in notifying the local Police Departments or State Police, you should contact RSC's Office of Public Safety who will assist you in doing so. RSC's Office of Public Safety can be contacted as follows:

Addresses:

 Main Office: 130 New Scotland Avenue, The Armory at Sage, Albany, NY 12208

Satellite Office: John Paine Building, 1st Floor, Troy Campus

• Emergencies: (518) 244-3177

Non-Emergencies:

Albany Campus: (518) 292-1767

o Troy Campus: (518) 244-3177

If you choose to contact law enforcement, you may have the further option to (but are not required to) pursue the case through the criminal justice system, where you will be assisted by the District Attorney's office, the local or state Police Department and the support and advocacy services of your choice.

- 2. Second, you may (but are not required to) report the Sexual Assault to UHA Public Safety. This may trigger the judicial process of RSC, which may result in the removal of the offender from the campus. It also assists RSC in complying with Federal requirements for reporting Assaults occurring on campus. You may (but are not required to) report Sexual Assaults anonymously to UHA Public Safety, preserving your privacy and only reporting the particulars of the incident.
- 3. Third, you may also (but are not required to) report the Sexual Assault to the Title IX Coordinator or any senior officer of RSC.
 - When you first disclose an incident to a representative of RSC, you will be presented with (1) a copy of this policy, (2) a written explanation of your rights and options, whether the incident occurred on or off-campus, and (3) the following information: "You have the right to make a report to university police or campus security, local law enforcement, and/or state police or choose not to report; to report the incident to your institution; to be protected by the institution from retaliation for reporting an incident; and to receive assistance and resources from your institution."
 - You will have the right to emergency access to the Title IX Coordinator or another appropriate official who has been designated by the Title IX Coordinator to provide emergency assistance (the "Designee"). The Designee may be an official of RSC or an official of an off-campus resource. The Title IX Coordinator or Designee will be available upon the first instance of disclosure by a reporting individual to provide immediate information and assistance regarding (1) options to proceed, including other reporting options; (2) where applicable, the importance of preserving

evidence and obtaining a sexual assault forensic examination as soon as possible; and (3) the criminal justice process, including that it utilizes different standards of proof and evidence and that any questions about whether a specific incident violated the penal law should be addressed to law enforcement or to the district attorney. The Title IX Coordinator or Designee will also explain whether he or she is authorized to offer you confidentiality or privacy, and will inform you about other reporting options.

- The Title IX Coordinator will generally be available for emergency access on weekdays during normal business hours, at the following: Amanda M. Bastiani, Title IX Coordinator/EEO Specialist, bastia@sage.edu or titleix@sage.edu, (518) 244-4809.
- When the Title IX Coordinator is not available, emergency access to a
 Designee will be available, at the following: Public Safety, (518)
 244-3177.
- Be aware that certain RSC officials with significant responsibility for student and employee activities may be required by law to disclose the occurrence of the event. If you make a report to an official who is required by law to disclose the occurrence of the event, but you request confidentiality, the Title IX Coordinator will evaluate the confidentiality request, by weighing the request against RSC's obligation to provide a safe, non-discriminatory environment for all members of its community. If it is determined that the incident must be disclosed, your name will be kept confidential, and you will be offered privacy to the greatest extent possible. If it is determined that the incident must be kept confidential, RSC will still assist with academic, housing, transportation, employment, and other reasonable and available supportive and/or safety measures.
- Even RSC officers and employees who cannot guarantee confidentiality will maintain your privacy to the greatest extent possible. The information you provide to a non-confidential resource will be relayed only as necessary for the Title IX Coordinator to investigate and/or seek a resolution. Your identity will remain private at all times if you wish to maintain privacy.
- RSC will make every effort to ensure that you are asked to describe the incident to as few representatives of RSC as possible, and are not required to unnecessarily repeat a description of the incident.
- 4. Fourth, in addition to, or in lieu of, reporting a Sexual Assault to one or more of the above, you may (but are not required to) make a <u>confidential</u> report to any campus mental health counselor, pastoral counselor, social worker, psychologist, nurse-practitioner, or other person with a professional license or who is supervised by such a person. This includes, but is not limited to,

professional employees and support staff at the Wellness Center. These employees are exempt from any requirement to further disclose your report to them, unless you want and ask them to do so. These employees can assist in obtaining services for reporting individuals.

- 5. In addition, you may confidentially disclose the Sexual Assault and obtain services from the state or local government.
- 6. You can also make an anonymous report to a confidential hotline provided by New York state agencies and not-for-profit entities. These hotlines include:
 - New York State Domestic and Sexual Violence Hotline: 1-800-942-6906.
 - Equinox Hotline: 518-432-7865.
 - Unity House Hotline: 518-272-2370.
 - The National Domestic Violence Hotline: 1-800-799-7233.
 - The National Sexual Assault Hotline: 1-800-656-4673.
 - Safe Horizon Hotlines: 800-621-4673 (domestic violence), 866-689-4357 (victims of other crimes, 1-212-227-3000 (rape & sexual assault victims).
- 7. Lastly, if the accused is an employee of RSC, you can report the Sexual Assault to RSC's Human Resources Department, or request that another employee assist in reporting to the Human Resources Department.

RSC encourages the victims of Sexual Assaults to report the incidents, in any of the manners described above. However, again, victims are not required to report the Assault to, or to seek assistance from RSC, law enforcement, or campus authorities. RSC will not pressure any student or employee to disclose a crime or violation, or to participate in the judicial, conduct, or criminal justice processes.

Reporting an incident does not:

- obligate the victim to prosecute;
- subject the victim to inappropriate scrutiny or judgment by the person receiving the report; or
- suggest in any way that the victim is at fault for the crime or violation, or should have acted in a different manner to avoid the crime or violation.

Reporting the incident does:

• ensure that a victim of a Sexual Assault receives necessary medical testing and treatment;

- provide the opportunity for collection of evidence critical to a prosecution, which cannot be obtained later;
- ensure that the victim has knowledge of and access to professional, confidential counseling from counselors specifically trained in the areas of Sexual Assaults.
- **E. File a Complaint with RSC.** If you believe you have been subject to a Sexual Assault, you may file a complaint under one or more of the following policies:
 - File a complaint under the Title IX Policy.
 - File a complaint under RSC's <u>Discrimination and Harassment Policy for Students and Employees</u>.
- **F.** Request to File Student Accountability Charges. If the accused or respondent is a student, you may request that RSC file student accountability charges against the accused or respondent. All students have the right to request that RSC bring student accountability charges against another student. Requests to file charges should be prepared in writing and directed to the Vice President for Student Life. Written requests may be emailed to the Vice President of Student Life at cellep@sage.edu, or mailed or delivered in person to the Vice President of Student Life at: RSC, 140 New Scotland Ave., Albany, NY 12208.

RSC retains the right to determine whether to actually file the charges against the accused or respondent. RSC can initiate charges or choose not to initiate them when evidence does or does not merit doing so, in conformity with state and federal law, RSC's Student Code of Accountability, and other RSC policies. Additional details regarding Student Conduct Charges can be found in the Student Code of Accountability, available at: https://www.sage.edu/student-life/office/code-of-accountability/.

You should be aware that there are significant differences between RSC's disciplinary system and the criminal justice system, because they have different, important goals. In the criminal justice system, prosecutors pursue cases when they believe there is sufficient evidence to prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that an individual has committed a criminal act. A person who is convicted of a crime will face criminal penalties, such as incarceration, probation, or the imposition of a fine. RSC's disciplinary process seeks to determine whether an individual has violated college policy. In this process, a preponderance of the evidence standard of proof is used to determine responsibility. A person who is found to have violated RSC policy may be suspended, expelled or otherwise restricted from full participation in the RSC community. Additional information regarding these distinctions can be found in the Student Code of Accountability,

https://www.sage.edu/student-life/office/code-of-accountability/.

G. Seek an Order of Protection or No Contact Order.

1. Orders of Protection

Victims of Sexual Assaults may have a right to obtain a court order to protect themselves from the perpetrators. RSC will enforce all applicable no contact orders, restraining orders, and similar lawful orders issued by a criminal, civil, or tribunal court, to the extent required by law.

An order of protection is issued by the court to limit the behavior of someone who harms or threatens to harm another person. It is used to address various types of safety issues, including, but not limited to situations involving domestic violence. Family Courts, criminal courts, and Supreme Courts can all issue orders of protection. An order of protection may direct the offending person not to injure, threaten or harass you, your family, or any other person(s) listed in the order.

A Family Court order of protection is issued as part of a civil proceeding. Its purpose is to stop violence within a family, or within an intimate relationship, and provide protection for those individuals affected. All Family Court proceedings are confidential. To obtain an order of protection in the Family Court, your relationship to the other person must fall into one of the following categories: (1) current or former spouse, (2) someone with whom you have a child in common, (3) a family member to whom you are related by blood or marriage, or (4) someone with whom you have or have had an "intimate relationship." An intimate relationship does not have to be a sexual relationship. A relationship may be considered intimate depending on factors such as how often you see each other, or how long you have known each other. After a petition is filed, the court will decide if it is an intimate relationship.

To start a proceeding in Family Court, you need to file a form called a Family Offense petition. You can contact the Family Court in your county for help completing and filing the petition. Contact information for the Family Courts in Albany and Troy are as follows:

Albany County Family Court
 Address: 30 Clinton Avenue, Albany, NY 12207

Phone: 518-285-8600 Fax: 518-238-4344

 Rensselaer County Family Court Address: 1504 Fifth Ave, Troy, N.Y. 12180

Phone: 518-453-5515 Fax: 518-285-8500 You may also wish to speak with an attorney or domestic violence advocate before filing.

A criminal court order of protection is issued as a condition of a defendant's release and/or bail in a criminal case. A criminal court order of protection may only be issued against a person who has been charged with a crime.

RSC will provide reporting individuals assistance from Public Safety, RSC security forces, or other appropriate officials in obtaining an order of protection. The following individuals can be contacted for assistance in obtaining or understanding an Order of Protection:

- Robert A. Sears
 Director of Public Safety
 518-292-1723 office
 518-542-1490 cell
 bsears@universityheights@sage.edu
- The Legal Project
 24 Aviation Road, Suite 101
 Albany, NY 12205
 (518) 435-1770 (phone)
 (518) 435-1773 (Fax)
 www.legalproject.org

RSC will provide the accused or respondent and the reporting individual a copy of any order of protection or equivalent that RSC receives. RSC will also provide the accused or respondent and the reporting individual an opportunity to meet or speak with a representative of RSC, or other appropriate individual, who can explain the order and answer questions about it, including information from the order about the accused's responsibility to stay away from the protected person or persons. RSC will provide the accused or respondent and the reporting individual an explanation of the consequences of violating these orders, including but not limited to arrest, additional conduct charges, and interim suspension. RSC will also call on and assist local law enforcement in effecting an arrest when an individual violates an order of protection.

2. No Contact Orders

When a student is accused of a Sexual Assault, RSC may issue a "no contact order" to protect you from the respondent or accused. This "no contact order" is an RSC document that does not have the legal effect of an order of protection, which is obtained through a court. This no contact order will be administered in a manner that is not otherwise inconsistent with requirements under federal law, such as Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972.

Under the no contact order: (1) the accused's continued intentional contact with the reporting individual is a violation of RSC's policy that is subject to additional conduct charges; and (2) in certain circumstances if the accused or respondent and a reporting individual observe each other in a public place, it is the responsibility of the accused or respondent to leave the area immediately and without directly contacting the reporting individual. In other circumstances, it will be incumbent on both parties to leave a public place upon observation of the other. Such requirements will be clearly noted by the Title IX Coordinator in conditions articulated in the no contact order.

Implementation of a no contact order may include establishing a schedule of attendance for the reporting individual and the accused to access certain locations at RSC, such as academic buildings, libraries, athletics or fitness facilities, and dining halls.

Upon request, both the accused (or respondent) and the reporting individual are entitled to a prompt review, reasonable under the circumstances, of the need for and terms of the mandatory no contact order, including potential modification, in which they are allowed to submit evidence in support of their requests. Requests to review a mandatory no contact order should be submitted to: Trish Cellemme, Vice President for Student Life at cellep@sage.edu. If a request for review is received from one party, the other party will be notified of the request for review. The Vice President for Student Life will issue a determination in response to the request, and notify both parties of the determination.

To make a request to impose a RSC "no contact order," you can contact: Amanda M. Bastiani, Title IX Coordinator/EEO Specialist, <u>bastia@sage.edu</u> or <u>titleix@sage.edu</u> (518) 244-4809.

H. <u>Initiate Legal Proceedings</u>. You may have the right to initiate legal proceedings. RSC serves as a resource to students in initiating these proceedings. However, RSC is not required to bring actions on behalf of reporting individuals, provide or pay for attorneys, or provide direct support. Local resources may be able to help you initiate legal proceedings, however. These resources may provide services with or without cost. The resources include the following:

 Pro Bono Appeals Program c/o Rural Law Center of NY 90 State Street, Suite 700 Albany, NY 12207

Business Phone: (518) 561-5460

Website: http://www.nysba.org/CustomTemplates/Content.aspx?id=180

 Albany County Bar Association 112 State Street, Suite 1120 Albany, NY 12207 Business Phone: (518) 445-7691 Website: http://www.albanycountybar.com

Hotline: (518) 445-7691

The Legal Project
24 Aviation Road, Suite 101
Albany, NY 12205
(518) 435-1770 (phone)
(518) 435-1773 (Fax)
www.legalproject.org

 Unity House Law Project Christopher A. Amato, Esq. 518-687-1827 camato@unityhouseny.org

I. Receive Other Intervention Services.

RSC recommends that reporting individuals seek the assistance of trained professionals in the aftermath of a Sexual Assault, and will assist reporting individuals to receive this assistance.

RSC's Wellness Center is a provider of helpful services for reporting individuals, including exit counseling, health, mental health, and other related services. These services are provided at no cost to the reporting individual. The Wellness Center can be located and/or contacted as follows:

Troy Campus
Kellas Hall, lower level
First Street
Troy, New York 12180
troywellnesscenter@RSC.edu

Phone: (518) 244-2261 Fax: (518) 244-2262 Albany Campus
Kahl Campus Center, lower level
140 New Scotland Avenue
Albany, New York 12208

albanywellnesscenter@RSC.edu

Phone: (518) 292-1917 Fax: (518) 292-1918

RSC has also entered into collaborative partnerships with community-based organizations (including rape-crisis centers, domestic violence shelters, and assistance organizations), to refer students for assistance or make services available to students. These helpful service providers in the Albany and Troy Communities, which may be able to provide helpful services (such as counseling, victim advocacy, and visa/immigration assistance), including the following:

Program/Entity	Contact Information	Cost
New York State Office for the Prevention of Domestic Violence	P: (518) 457-5800 W: https://opdv.ny.gov/	No cost
New York State Coalition Against Sexual Assault	P: (518) 482-4222 W: http://nyscasa.org/	For a fee
The Albany County Crime Victim and Sexual Violence Center	P: (518) 447-7716 W: https://www.albanycounty.com/de partments/crime-victim-and-sexua l-violence-center	No cost
The Sexual Assault and Crime Victims Assistance Program for Rensselaer County	P: (518) 270-4040 W: https://www.rensco.com/203/ Victim-Services	No cost
Unity House of Troy	P: (518) 274-2607 W: http://www.unityhouseny.org/	No cost
Equinox	P: (518) 434-6135 W: http://www.equinoxinc.org/	No cost

A number of resources are also available through the New York State Office of Victim Services (OVS). OVS can be contacted by phone at 1-800-247-8035. OVS resources can also be accessed at the following website: https://ovs.nv.gov.

J. Right to Withdraw. You have the right to withdraw your report and/or to withdraw from involvement in RSC's investigation of the complaint at any time. If you choose to withdraw, however, RSC may still have obligations to investigate and/or take actions under state or federal law. If RSC continues an investigation or takes action after you withdraw, you have the right to participate as much or as little as you wish.